Introduction to expression analysis (RNA-seq)

Quality Control Hands-on Exercise

Philippine Genome Centre University of the Philippines

Originally prepared by Maria Xenophontos, EMBL-EBI Konrad Rudolph, EMBL-EBI (Revised and re-printed with permission)

Revised for PGC by Nelzo C. Ereful National Institute of Agricultural Botany Cambridge, UK

University of Cambridge

General information

The following standard icons are used in the hands-on exercises to help you locate:

j

Important Information

E

General information / notes

V

Follow the following steps

- Questions to be answered
 - Warning PLEASE take care and read carefully

Resources used:

FastQC: <u>http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/</u> Fastx-toolkit: <u>http://hannonlab.cshl.edu/fastx_toolkit/</u>

j

We will use a dataset derived from sequencing mRNA of *Claviceps purpurea* a fungus that infects wheat. Sequencing was performed on the Illumina platform and generated 100 bp single-end sequence data using polyA selected RNA. Due to time constraints and memory requirements of the practical we will use only one replicate.

Data quality control tutorial

Going on a blind date with your read set? For a better understanding of the consequences please check the data quality!

For the purpose of this tutorial we are focusing only on the Illumina sequencing which uses 'sequence by synthesis' technology in a highly parallel fashion. Although Illumina high throughput sequencing provides highly accurate sequence data, several sequence artefacts, including base calling errors and small insertions/deletions, poor quality reads and primer/adapter contamination are quite common in the high throughput sequencing data. The primary errors are substitution errors. The error rates can vary from 0.5-2.0% with errors mainly rising in frequency at the 3' ends of reads.

One way to investigate sequence data quality is to visualize the quality scores and other metrics in a compact manner to get an idea about the quality of a read data set. Read data sets can be improved by post processing in different ways like trimming off low quality bases, cleaning up the sequencing adapters if any, removing PCR duplicates if required. We can also look at other statistics such as, sequence length distribution, base composition, sequence complexity, presence of ambiguous bases etc. to assess the overall quality of the data set. Highly redundant coverage (>15X) of the genome can be used to correct sequencing errors in the reads before assembly and errors. Various k-mer based error correction methods exist but are beyond the scope of this tutorial.

To investigate sequence data quality we would demonstrate tools called FastQC and fastx-toolkit. FastQC will process and present the reports in visual manner. Based on the results the sequence data can be processed using the fastx-toolkit.

Running FastQC

In this exercise we will check the quality of the reads generated from spores of *Claviceps purpurea*, an ergot fungus that infects the ears of wheat and other related forage plants. The file was generated using Illumina single end reads sequencing at Source Bioscience. FastQC is installed on your computer. Load and analyse the file 'Claviceps.fastq' by typing the following in your screen:



🌃 🛛 fastqc Claviceps.fastq

The process of analysing may take a few minutes. After the analysis, transfer the **html** file to your local drive using **WinSCP** and view it using your favourite browser (e.g. Mozilla Firefox).

Quality visualisation:

A QC report contains several modules. For example, the report file will have a **Basic Statistics** table and various graphs and tables for different quality statistics. For a complete tutorial on the different modules of FASTQC report, open the following link:

http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/Help/3%20Analysis%20Modules/

Filename	Claviceps.fastq
File type	Conventional base calls
Encoding	Sanger / Illumina 1.9
Total Sequences	4284245
Filtered Sequences	0
Sequence length	100
%GC	48

Table 1 FastQC Basic Statistics table

In addition, FastQC reports information about the quality scores of the reads.



per base sequence quality plot: visual output from FastQC. Base positions in the reads are shown on x-axis and quality score (Q Score) are shown on the Y-axis.

🧠 Q-scores:

A quality score (or Q-score) expresses an error probability. In particular, it serves as a convenient and compact way to communicate very small error probabilities.

Given an assertion, A, the probability that A is not true, $P(\sim A)$, is expressed by a quality score, Q(A), according to the relationship:

 $Q(A) = -10 \log_{10}(P(\sim A))$

where $P(\sim A)$ is the estimated probability of an assertion A being wrong.

The relationship between the quality score and error probability is demonstrated with the following table:

Quality score, Q(A)	Error probability, P(~A)
10	0.1
20	0.01
30	0.001
40	0.0001

E

Questions:

1. How many sequences were there in your file? What is the read length?

Does the quality score value vary throughout the read length? (*hint: look at the 'per base sequence quality plot'*)

What is the quality score range you see? _____

- 2. At around which position do the score start falling below Q20?
- 3. How can we trim the reads to filter out the low quality data?
- 4. Why does the quality deteriorate at the end of Illumina reads? http://arep.med.harvard.edu/pdf/Fuller_09.pdf

Sequencing errors can complicate the downstream analysis, which normally requires that reads be aligned to each other (for genome assembly) or to a reference genome (for detection of mutations). Sequence reads containing errors may lead to ambiguous paths in the assembly or improper gaps. In variant analysis projects sequence reads are aligned against the reference genome. The errors in the reads may lead to more number of mismatches than expected due to mutations alone. But if these errors can be removed or corrected, the reads alignment and hence the variant detection will improve. The assemblies will also improve after pre-processing the reads with errors.

Read Trimming:

The read trimming can be done in a variety of ways. Choose a method which best suits your data. Here we are giving examples of fixed-base trimming and quality score-based trimming.

1. Fixed Length Trimming:

Low quality read ends can be trimmed using a fixed length trimmer. We will use the fastx_trimmer from the fastx-toolkit. Type 'fastx_trimmer -h' at anytime to display the various options you can use with this tool.

In order to do fixed trimming with the fastq file '*Claviceps.fastq*' use the following command. The output will be stored as

```
'Claviceps_fixed_trimmed.fastq'.
```

```
#go to your home directory
cd ~
#copy the file to your home directory
cp /nfs/projects/training/RNASeq/Claviceps.fastq ./
#display options
fastx_trimmer -h
fastx_trimmer -h
fastx_trimmer -f 1 -l 80 -i Claviceps.fastq \
        -o Claviceps_fixed_trimmed.fastq
```

Run FastQC on the resulting file.

Table 2 FastQC Basic Statistics table

Filename	Claviceps.fastq
File type	Conventional base calls
Encoding	Sanger / Illumina 1.9
Total Sequences	40000
Filtered Sequences	0
Sequence length	80
%GC	48



per base sequence quality plot: visual output fromFastQC. Base positions in the reads are shown on x-axis and quality score (Q Score) are shown on the Y-axis.

2. Quality Based Trimming:

Base call quality scores can also be used for trimming sequence end. A quality score threshold and minimum read length after trimming can be used to remove low quality data. Using the same input file (Claviceps.fastq), trim the sequence reads using the following commands:

```
cd ~
fastq_quality_trimmer -h
fastq_quality_trimmer -t 20 -1 50 \
    -i Claviceps.fastq \
    -o Claviceps.quality.fastq
```



-o Claviceps.quality.fastq

If you don't change the output folder, then these results will overwrite previous output with similar output file name.

Again, run FastQC on the resulting file.

Table 3 FastQC Basic Statistics table (This is a sample table)

Filename	Claviceps.fastq
File type	Conventional base calls
Encoding	Sanger / Illumina 1.9
Total Sequences	38976
Filtered Sequences	0
Sequence length	50-100
%GC	48





Questions:

- 1. How did the quality score range change with two types of trimming?
- 2. Did the number of total reads change after two types of trimming?
- 3. What reads lengths were obtained after quality based trimming?
- 4. Did you observe adapter sequences in the data?

Advanced Options:

1. Adapter Clipping

Sometime sequence reads may end up getting the leftover of adapters and primers used for the sequencing process. It's a good practice to screen your data for these possible contaminations for more sensitive alignment and assembly based analysis. This is usually a necessary steps in sequencing projects where read lengths are longer than the molecule sequenced, for example when sequencing miRNAs.

Various QC tools are available to screen and/or clip these adapter/primer sequences from your data. (e.g. FastQC, fastx, cutadapt)

Here we are demonstrating ' $fastx_clipper$ ' to trim a given adapter sequence. Use ' $fastx_clipper -h$ ' to display help at anytime.

```
cd ~
fastx_clipper -h
fastx_clipper -v -l 20 -M 15 \
    -a <sequence to be trimmed> \
    -i Claviceps.fastq -o Claviceps.adapter.clipped.fastq
```

An alternative tool, not installed on this system, for adapter clipping is 'fastq-mcf'. A list of adapters is provided as a list in a text file. For more information, see: <u>http://code.google.com/p/ea-utils/wiki/FastqMcf</u>

2. Removing Duplicates

Duplicate reads are the ones having the same start and end coordinates. This may be the result of technical duplication (too many PCR cycles), or oversequencing (very high fold coverage). It is very important to put the duplication level in context of your experiment. For example, duplication level in targeted or re-sequencing projects may mean different than in RNA-seq experiments. In RNA-seq experiments oversequencing is usually necessary when detecting the low expressed transcripts.

The duplication level computed by **FastQC** is based on sequence identity at the end of reads. Another tool, **Picard**, determines duplicates based on identical start and end positions.



We will not perform removal of duplicates since we will be doing differential expression analysis. However, we provide the following for your information.

Picard is a suite of tools for performing many common tasks with SAM/BAM format files. For more information see the Picard website and information about the various command-line tools available:

http://picard.sourceforge.net

One of the Picard tools (MarkDuplicates) can be used to analyse and remove duplicates from the raw sequence data. The input for Picard is a sorted alignment file in *.bam* format. Short read aligners such as, bowtie, BWA, tophat etc. can be used to align fasta files against a reference genome to generate SAM/BAM alignment format.

However interested users can use the following general command to run the MarkDuplicates tool at their leisure and only need to provide a BAM file for the INPUT argument:

cd ~/Desktop/QC

```
java -jar picard.jar MarkDuplicates \
    INPUT=<alignment_file.bam> \
    VALIDATION_STRINGENCY=LENIENT \
    OUTPUT=alignment_file.dup \
    METRICS_FILE=alignment_file.matric \
    ASSUME_SORTED=true \
    REMOVE_DUPLICATES=true
```

NOTE: For participants interested in using Picard/GATK, please approach the facilitator.